

VILLAGE OF WHITEFISH BAY
Minutes of Architectural Review Commission
January 6, 2022

Acting Chairperson: Dave Domres - Board Members present: Meg Baniukiewicz, James Hoffman & Sam Schultz. Village Inspector, Mike Belsha.

The meeting came to order at 5:36 p.m.

The **first** item on the agenda is **843 E Birch Ave.** The proposed project for review is a two-story addition. Jeff Frank, the homeowner was present to explain the project while the Board reviewed the submitted plans and video. **Discussion Keys:** Garage flush with front of home, should be set back. Roofline carries across whole front façade of home. Floorplans do not show windows, elevations do.

A number of Commissioner's comments include specific references to the Design Guidelines to assist the homeowner's understanding of the comments provided by Commissioners.

After further discussion, Sam Schultz made a motion to table the submitted plans. James Hoffman seconded. A vote was taken and unanimously approved. (4-0)

The ARC minutes from the December 16, 2021 meeting were reviewed. Meg Baniukiewicz made a motion to approve them as submitted. James Hoffman seconded. A vote was taken and unanimously approved. (4-0)

With no other matters on the agenda, Sam Schultz made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 6:16 P.M. Meg Baniukiewicz seconded. A vote was taken and unanimously approved. (4-0)

Done
1/6/22

875 E Birch
Addition 1-6-22

ARC Checklist

Setbacks compatible per 16.31 1. A.?

Front	YES	NO
Side	YES	NO
Rear	YES	NO

Height

Most Design areas limited to 25'	YES	NO
Between 25.1' - 30' design area must have a pattern of this height	YES	NO
Between 30.1' - 35' addition requirements met per RDG	YES	NO

e 31'

Entries and Porches

Entries are consistent with the Design Area	YES	NO
Entry is consistent with the style of the home	YES	NO
Entries should be retained with remodels	YES	NO
Entry is prominent and oriented to the street (unless Design Area pattern)	YES	NO

→ ONLY IF GARAGE DOOR BACK FROM FRONT FACADE.

Garages and Parking Areas

Garages location is consistent with Design area	YES	NO
Attached garage is NOT the dominant feature when viewed from the road	YES	NO
Attached garages at the front or side are not wider than 1/2 the width of the structure	YES	NO
Three garages meet RDG specs in 16.31 1 D. iii.	YES	NO
Attached garages on corner lots does not cause paving at or near the corner	YES	NO
Front facing attached garage single door can't exceed 30% of the combined width of structure	YES	NO
Driveway pavement is minimized as per the RDG	YES	NO

N/A

Scale and Massing

Compatible to the adjacent houses -	ADJUST PROPOSED	YES	NO
Scale and mass facing public street is compatible with Design Area		YES	NO
Foundation height is compatible with Design Area		YES	NO

Specific Design Elements of Architectural Style

Proposed project is architecturally consistent on all sides concerning the following:

Siding material is consistent with style of house	(SHAKE)	YES	NO
Roofing material is on approved list		YES	NO
Roof slopes are compatible	REVIEW PROPOSED SINGLE	YES	NO
Window styles/size/proportions are compatible	RIDGE TO MULTIPLE	YES	NO
Decorative features are compatible (corbels, rails, columns, etc.)		YES	NO
Chimneys (generally masonry)		YES	NO
Garages and Sheds are compatible with house style		YES	NO

Misc.

Exterior lighting meets RDG (pg 185)	GARAGE DOOR SET BACK?	YES	NO
Site Plan		YES	NO
Project does not impair lot's beauty		YES	NO
Drainage approved by ARC (if NO, the Village staff to review)		YES	NO

* ALIGN FLOOR PLAN WITH ELEVATIONS
 If no to any of the above, mitigation measures are (16.31 III. B. 1-7)
 * MOVE GARAGE BACK FROM FRONT
 * REVIEW SINGLE RIDGE LINE (OR SET)
 * ADJUST REAR DECK DESIGN (CONTEMPORARY RAIL VS. TRADITIONAL STYLE)